

CINCINNATI, OH 45224

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FIL		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/778,375 02/07/2001		02/07/2001	Mattias Schmidt	8414Q	6856		
27752	7590	10/21/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
THE PROC	CTER & C	SAMBLE COMP	KIDWELL, N	KIDWELL, MICHELE M			
<del>-</del>		OPERTY DIVISIO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
6110 CENT		INICAL CENTER AVENUE	3761				

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					A				
	Application	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Ų					
0.00	09/778,	375	SCHMIDT ET AL.						
Offic	Examin	er	Art Unit						
	Michele		3761						
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commun	ication appears on ti	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT  - If the period for rep  - If NO period for rep  - Failure to reply with  - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F DATE OF THIS COMMUNI may be available under the provisions THS from the mailing date of this comn ly specified above is less than thirty (3 bly is specified above, the maximum stanting the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months a adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. O) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply and the apply	event, however, may a atutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI oplication to become Al	reply be timely filed  try (30) days will be considered timel  NTHS from the mailing date of this co  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>15 July 2003</u>							
2a)⊠ This act	ion is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action i	is non-final.						
	is application is in condition				e merits is				
Disposition of Cla	n accordance with the prac i <b>ims</b>	uce under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s)	1-16 is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the	e above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from c	onsideration.						
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-11 and 14-16</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>4,5,12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.								
	are subject to restric	ction and/or election	requirement.						
Application Paper	'S								
,	fication is objected to by th	_	_						
•—	ng(s) filed on is/are:								
• •	t may not request that any ob	•			<b>F</b>				
	sed drawing correction file			i b) 🔼 disapproved by the	Examiner.				
	red, corrected drawings are re or declaration is objected to		Office action.						
· —	•	by the Examiner.							
	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 edgment is made of a claim	o for foreign priority :	under 25 II S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)					
•—	Some * c) None of:	rior foreign priority t	inder 33 0.3.0.	§ 119(a)-(u) of (i).					
	rtified copies of the priority	documents have he	en received						
_	ertified copies of the priority			Application No.					
	pies of the certified copies				Stane				
_	application from the Interr tached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		Clage				
14) Acknowled	lgment is made of a claim f	for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
,	translation of the foreign laid dgment is made of a claim		• •						
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (F osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## **Drawings**

The drawings were received on July 15, 2003. These drawings are not acceptable.

The proposed drawing correction has been disapproved because it is not in the form of a pen-and-ink sketch showing changes in red ink or with the changes otherwise highlighted. See MPEP § 608.02(v).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1 - 2, 6, 8 - 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glaug et al. (US 5,797,892).

With respect to claim 1, Glaug et al. (hereinafter "Glaug") discloses an absorbent article comprising a liquid impermeable backsheet (58), a liquid pervious topsheet joined to the backsheet, an absorbent core disposed intermediate to the topsheet and the backsheet, and a phase change material disposed at least on a portion of the article as set forth on page 5, lines 29 – 32 and in figure 2.

As to claim 2, Glaug discloses an absorbent article wherein the phase change material changes phases in response to a change between the backsheet of the article and the skin of the wearer in relative humidity, moisture or temperature as set forth in col. 8, lines 44 - 57.

With reference to claim 6, Glaug discloses an absorbent article wherein the phase change material effects relative humidity or temperature within the article or between the article and the wearer as set forth in col. 9, lines 3 – 5.

As to claim 8, Glaug discloses an absorbent article wherein the phase change material has a latent heat energy of at least about 200 kJ/kg as set forth in col. 9, lines 29 – 34.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,375

Art Unit: 3761

Regarding claim 9, Glaug discloses an absorbent article wherein the phase change material is used at a basis weight of at least about 100 gsm as set forth in col. 9, lines 34 – 37.

With respect to claims 10 and 11, Glaug discloses an absorbent article wherein a thermal cell actuator is removable from the article or attachable to the article as set forth in the abstract.

As to claim 16, Glaug discloses an absorbent article comprising a liquid impervious backsheet, a liquid pervious topsheet joined to the backsheet, an absorbent core disposed intermediate the topsheet and the backsheet and a thermal cell actuator (64) disposed on or adjacent to at least a portion of the article to effect a change in properties in at least a portion of the absorbent article as set forth in col. 10, lines 58 – 61.

Claims 1 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasse (US 5,591,146).

With respect to claim 1, Hasse discloses an absorbent article comprising a backsheet (26), a liquid pervious topsheet joined to the backsheet (24), an absorbent core disposed intermediate to the topsheet and the backsheet (28), and a phase change material (89) disposed at least on a portion of the article as set forth in figure 2.

As to claim 15, Hasse discloses an absorbent article wherein the phase change material effects an increase in fragrance in the article when the phase change material changes phases as set forth in col. 11, lines 5-8.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,375

Art Unit: 3761

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glaug and further in view of Salyer (US 5,254,380).

The difference between Glaug and claim 3 is the provision that the phase change material will change from a liquid to a solid or from a solid to a liquid in response to a temperature change in the absorbent article.

Salyer discloses a phase change material will change from a liquid to a solid or from a solid to a liquid in response to a temperature change as set forth in col. 1, lines 20-30.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the phase change material taught by Salyer for the phase change material disclosed by Glaug because both disclose the use of hydrated salts as phase change materials in medical applications as shown in Glaug (col. 9, lines 45 – 46) and Salyer (col. 4, lines 36 – 37) and Salyer's recognition of a phase change material that will not liquefy upon heating above its melting point and not form a rigid solid below its melting point proves particularly useful for heat transfer efficiency and safety as taught by Salyer in col. 1, lines 46 – 48.

With reference to claim 7, Salver discloses the phase change material being selected from the listed group as set forth in col. 4, lines 36 – 65.

With respect to claim 14, Salver discloses a the phase change material effecting a decrease in malodorous vapors in the article when the phase change material changes phases as set forth in col. 1, lines 24 – 39 and col. 2, lines 5 – 6. It is well known in the art that silica performs as an odor absorber.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 – 5 and 12 – 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 15, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a material which will transition from one fast to another such as from the solid to liquid phase) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Application/Control Number: 09/778,375

Art Unit: 3761

The applicant uses the term "phase change material" and according to the originally filed disclosure the phase change material is defined as being capable of adding and removing heat to or from at least a potion of the absorbent article to perform a useful function on the article (page 3, lines 17 – 18). In the current rejection, Glaug provides an article that will add or remove heat from the article to function as a training aid for the wearer, thereby meeting the terms of a "phase change material" as defined by the disclosure.

Likewise, in response to the applicant's arguments that Glaug is patentable over newly presented claim 16, the examiner disagrees. Again, it is noted that the features upon which the applicant relies (i.e., that Glaug does not disclose the use of a temperature change to effect some other change in the properties of a portion of the article) are not recited in the rejected claim. The claim does not require some other change in property. The examiner contends that the temperature change substance of Glaug functions as a thermal cell actuator (because it adds or removes heat from the article) that is disposed on at least a portion of the article and effects a change in properties (temperature) in at least a portion of the article even if that portion affected is the layer itself and not some other portion of the article because some other portion of the article is not required by the claim language.

In response to the applicant's argument that Hasse does not show a phase change material, the examiner disagrees. Hasse discloses a material that encapsulates a fragrance. As the system is manipulated, the microcapsules are released and change

from one phase (encapsulated) to another phase (diffused) as taught by Hasse in col. 8, lines 16 – 18.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 703-308-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Michele Kidwell
October 16, 2003

WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700